

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 27/11/18	Surveyor: Nathan McWhinnie
----------------	----------------------------

Tree details		
TPO Ref: 428	Tree/Group No: 1	Species: Cedrus sp.
Owner (if known): Mr Mark Stone		
Location: 12 Woodvale Road, S10 3EX		

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/Dying?Dangerous Unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes: 3 – Very good vitality and condition. Has a union at the very base, which has been flagged up as dangerous by a local tree surgeon. In my opinion this is not a significant hazard: the lack of any increment growth around the union indicates that there is no stress on it, most likely due to natural bracing in the crown. But due to this form I have only scored it fair. The larger stem is towards the house.</p>

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10 Unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 2 – a cautious 2, and more towards the upper end of the category</p>
--

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable
- 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

<p>Score & Notes 3 – busy road, used as a cut through</p>
--

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

<p>Score & Notes 1</p>

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

<p>Score & Notes 5 – section 211 notice of felling received</p>
--

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

<p>Add Scores for Total: 14</p>
--

<p>Decision: TPO defensible</p>
--

This page is intentionally left blank